National Liberty Alliance

Monday Night Conference Call

June 11, 2018

Lead In Song: Candle of Life

(4:20)

Join National Liberty Alliance's Open Forum and weekly news and updates on NLA's advancements in the courts every Monday night, 9 PM Eastern weekly NLA teleconference. Click "Weekly Call” on NLA website home page and click the Green phone or call (605) 475-3250, enter access code 449389# PRESS \*6 TO MUTE/UNMUTE, then 1 if you want to get into the queue Playback number 605-475-3257, access code 449389#.

Questions can be e-mailed to questions@nationallibertyalliance.org

Please support our business partners. You can find their banners on the right hand side of the website. Proceeds support National Liberty Alliance’s effort to save America.

Please support NLA

Scripture Reading: Matthew 28 : 1 - 20

(9:00)

Gerard and John will be on You Are Free TV it’s going to be recorded tomorrow

And when they post it they post it up on YouTube

When they post it we’ll post it up on our website

We’re also going to be on another TV program Liberty Beacon TV

QUESTIONS

Question1: Does National Liberty Alliance plan on addressing and pursuing the issue of smart meters and their forced acceptance for utility customers especially those who are aware of their hazards and refuse their deployment on their homes and businesses?

(10:37)

We are partnershipping with another group

We made a couple of connections with them

We’ve been busy and they’ve been busy too

We want to try to finalize a plan so that people could utilize those plans and do Notice and Demands to the electric companies and have them remove the meters

There is a process to take it to court too but these courts are so corrupt

But that doesn’t mean that we can’t use them and build cases as evidence for the future

We have this one case going through here in New York which is the Second Amendment case for members of New York who got together on that case

We are going to read a couple pages of a paper we just recently sent in.

We mailed it on Friday So they got it today

If they throw us out and they are dead determine to do so All of this becomes more proof more evidence against these people We got a lot of evidence against the judiciary We can prove easily that this judiciary without any doubt this case alone we can prove by their actions their words their deeds their papers that they have totally left the law of the land They do not acknowledge the Constitution They do not acknowledge the Bill of Rights They couldn’t care less about the Declaration of Independence These people are tyrants These federal judges are making money when they do an IRF case

They don’t even hide that

They get a special bonus if the case comes through guilty with a guilty verdict they get a bonus

It’s $30,000 plus for one case as a bonus

It’s not justice

We’re fighting in the courts Some big things are coming down soon

A lot of people are going to be arrested

Once the Deep State is totally exposed and these people are tried and removed from their position and we get our federal agencies back all those agencies have been robbed and controlled by the Deep State

It’s only a matter of time These people are going down.

They’ve denied us a common law court They’ve denied us a court of justice

We have another paper we’re going to be writing

Hopefully in a day or two we will get it out

We’re going to read the paper that we filed on Friday

Justice is hard to find

Anybody who tries to fight these people if you got a case and it’s well written and it’s clear and you made your jurisdiction clear maybe we could take your case and use it as evidence but it has to be clearly written

People have to be educated on how to write a case and how to control and maintain their jurisdiction You can learn that by reading our case

If you got a problem with smart meters and the electric company is forcing them on you then fill out the paperwork that Cal and Josh have on their website In Power.com

Get your neighbors involved Get your town board involved

Going alone is a tough tough thing

Question 2: An important issue that seems to come up a lot the federal/national/Real ID issue some states seem to be enforcing and it seems impending here in Pennsylvania in 2019

Any comment in action on those would be greatly appreciated.

We’ve got enough IDs as it is.

We don’t need another ID

(24:00)

It’s a federal plan and they’re trying to get another connection

With that Real ID you will not be able to get on a plane unless you have it

If we get leverage in the court we’re not going to allow that to happen

The people will have a voice and leverage and we’re not going to permit it.

Question 3 is a question about the Safe Act

(26:29)

Has the team considered collecting the oaths and bonds for all of the defendants and place them in the record?

We may have already accepted their oaths and bonds That’s how we started out

We may or may not have put that into this case I think we did

We wrote about their oaths in our original complaint

It’s not really a complaint It’s an action

We’re not complaining to no one We’re taking action against someone

There’s a vast difference between the two

Our next move is to go up against the judiciary

If we get thrown out of this case then we got to go up against the judiciary

We also have to consider indictments here

We got a lot of cases that we are going to leverage into this case to make our point to show that they’re out of control They have robbed the people

These people need to be removed from office

The case that we’re going to make on this when we go after the judiciary is one that we can pass over to the President Hopefully he will act upon it We’re going to try to school him a little more on some things Maybe we’ll send him some personal papers for the purpose of education

(32:06)

Question 4 The bonding agent should be noticed of pending action against the defendants which will apply pressure to resolve any claims The judges and magistrates should be noticed as well through a notary and process server not on record with his oath and bond expressing he is bound by his oath

If they have any papers on this put something together and get it to John

We need a lot of help here People can research certain areas for us

Research those areas and give us the information

This sounds like an issue where we might be able to leverage something with it

COMMENT

Jan keeps getting messages from people that say “There’s something good on something in this book” They give Jan the title of a book. Or “This video has something about this or that”

Jan doesn’t have time to read the whole book

If you’re going to send information in on researching please send where in the book or DVD the information is located and what it consists of.

It would be of great assistance to us

ANNOUNCEMENT

We are still short for someone for June 16 for the Natural Healing Call

And we could use some more for the July call

If anybody knows of anybody or would like to give a thirty to forty minute presentation on natural healing Call Jan 814-531-5363 or e-mail Jan at Jan@nationallibertyalliance.org

(35:00)

Robert Overheul has been doing some interesting work in Michigan He’s been working on the real estate tax issue

Robert had some comments

Seems that a lot of these city managers does not know what’s going on

He’s relying a lot on his assistant city manager who is a BAR attorney

People had better start getting involved in their local government

If you have a city manager Start paying him visits

They don’t forget Robert too easily because he refers to them as my public servants

They get a little squirrelly when you start talking to them like that

The sheriff is not going to do anything

We got to move him

Robert is writing a document now in which he is placing the burden right on the sheriff to call a common law grand jury a common law court an Article III and under the rules of common law meaning there shall be no judge or BAR attorneys involved

at all in the grand jury and the trial jury

and he has thirty days to call it otherwise I’m going to deem it as a waiver

As a waiver I will file a default on him a default into bad behavior

Get active There’s a lot of stuff going down

(38:36)

Gerard does not know if he read last week what an attorney said in a case

Their view is that the Constitution is basically once you’re out of law school it’s not worth anything

This is an attorney’s words: “No one cares about constitutional theory once they leave law school It has zero real world application outside of scholarly writing The statutes you’re dealing with all have withstood constitutional scrutiny “ That’s a lie

They’re looking at everything very statutorily

How does the statute fit

He explains how the judge applies and interprets the law and the statute

They’re trying to stick square pegs into square holes

There is no movement elsewhere

He says that the courts are not going to do a de novo this is precisely why it is not in your best interest to spend your valuable time with the court’s attention on these arguments Trial courts don’t concern themselves with conducting a de novo review of constitutionality of statutes that has survived constitutional scrutiny”

That’s why you need a jury because a jury can rule on the law and the judge cannot

A jury can nullify the law

When you work with statutes there is no extenuating circumstances

All of these attorneys they have basically been trained the same way

It is easier to stick with the statutes because there is no veering off

Let’s take a look at the paperwork The case we put in

(45:22)

This is a reply to the defendants’ reply

They’ve come out after us concerning Rule 12

They want to dismiss the case

They talk as if they got something And the judges talk as if they got something

And they think that they got something but they got nothing

They wrote their reply

They wrote their papers concerning the rules

We replied to that

The judge said that they could have a second reply

We disagree with that

John will read the paper and what we wrote and it will become clear why we disagree

They bring up two points

And they’re trying to throw us out on one of these two positions

Defendants point number one He says

” Point I Despite the Plaintiffs’ disavowal of the characterization of their Second Amendment challenge to the New York State SAFE Act (and numerous other firearms related statutes ) as a “sovereign citizen” challenge, the Plaintiffs’ claim is in fact properly classified as a “sovereign citizen” challenge that the Court must dismiss as a frivolous

Point II Plaintiffs’ claim must still be dismissed based upon the doctrine of collateral estoppel since these same Plaintiffs litigated and lost identical legal claims in State court in 2013”

John read PLAINTIFFS REPLY TO DEFENDANTS REPLY

“It is obviously fair-minded that defendants should get last word, after all they are the challenged and must defend. With that being said when the defendants move the court via Rule 12, the challenger becomes the challenged and for a brief period the plaintiffs become the defendants and therefore, last word is appropriately the plaintiffs’. Therefore, on and for the record plaintiffs herein reply to defendants’ reply to plaintiffs’ answer. Defendants raised the following invalid feeble points in an effort to elude answering the Action, and the plaintiffs rebut said invalid feeble points as follows:

PLAINTIFFS’ (reiterated) REPLY: Federal Rule 12 does not provide for either frivolous claims to be dismissed or a ‘sovereign citizen’ challenge to be dismissed. They need to be heard before declared such. Rule 12 only provides for dismissal if it “appears beyond doubt” that the plaintiffs can prove ‘no set of facts’ in support of their claim.2 See Action at Law lines 22-48 concerning numerous ‘sets of facts’.”

The paper concludes with the following

“IN CONCLUSION, a court of justice is to hear before it judges. That is the purpose of any Action, to prove or not, the claims by demonstrating through debate to the tribunal for such judgment. The general rule is that a complaint should not be dismissed unless it ‘appears beyond doubt’ that the plaintiff can prove ‘no set of facts’ in support of his claim.3 The validity of a claim can only be known after the Answer.”

We already know what they’re going to do

We’d be very surprised if they let this case go through

But that’s OK we move to the next step

And to move to the next step we do need money

We need a couple thousand dollars at least

If we were to get lawyers to do the case that we want to go forward with it would be hundreds of thousands of dollars without a doubt

Right off the top they’re going to want $50,000

It will be hundreds of thousands of dollars

They’ll lose any way

They’ll never run it the way we’ll run it

We’re going to sue all 94 federal districts

We may have to include the United States Supreme Court

We’re going to write a paper to them to find out what they are doing How come they haven’t responded?

They have not responded yet

If by chance we do not get thrown out under Rule 12 then the case is over

They now have to answer

They cannot answer

That’s why they’re going to throw us out

Nobody can answer the papers that we wrote on them

To answer would be to show and prove that they are subverters that they are tyrants

Or they’re going to have to agree and if they agree then they’re going to lose the case

And if they disagree they’re going to lose the case

They have no chose but to throw us out under Rule 12 and think that we will go away and do nothing

That’s not going to happen

We’re going to make the appeal

We’re gong to make the appeal in a certain way and let it be known we’re only doing it this way because we have to do it this way but the authority ultimately lies in the people and not in these judges

We will go up to Albany and we will talk to these judges

We will make our case

Meanwhile we will see what goes on with the United States Supreme Court

Give them a little more time

We’ll run the appellate process through on the one side and we will sue on the other side

for these people for subversion and denial robbing justice from the people robbing us of our Article III courts robbing us of our unalienable rights charging us money

We need a couple of thousand of dollars

We need money This is not free

We need the people to step up and do this

We also need the people to step up and become educated

These lawyers are trained by the elite

They’re the minions of the New World Order

It is important for them to have a statutory process

We got to battle them

Nobody else is doing what we’re doing

Please support us

Give up a few bagels and a few cups of coffee

(1:09:48)

Two weeks ago we had a discussion about radical Muslimism and different things and Brent went on about how he got into a match with a Muslim man that said that Mohammad had no sin.

He stuck up against him

Gerard read a thing about the Muslims

Christians and Muslims have been fighting for years

They actually respect Jesus Christ as a prophet

This is something that was written:

“The Koran, which is the Bible of the Moslems, has many passages concerning the Blessed Virgin. First of all, the Koran believes in her Immaculate Conception and, also, in her Virgin Birth. The Koran has also verses on the Annunciation, Visitation, and Nativity Angels are pictured as accompanying the Blessed Mother and saying: ‘Oh, Mary, God has chosen you and purified you, and elected you above all the women of the earth.’  In the nineteenth chapter of the Koran there are forty-one verses on Jesus and Mary There is such a strong defense of the virginity of Mary here that the Koran, in the fourth book, attributes the condemnation of the Jews to their monstrous calumny against the Virgin Mary. Mary, then, is for the Moslems the true *Sayyida*, or Lady. The only possible serious rival to her in their creed would be Fatima, the daughter of Mohammed himself. But after the death of Fatima, Mohammed wrote: ‘Thou shalt be the most blessed of all the women in Paradise, after Mary.’ In a variant of the text, Fatima is made to say: ‘ I surpass all the women, except Mary.’”

There is a line between the Muslims and the Christians

We have a Sunday program at 11:00 AM and we can look at these things there

But even there we don’t want to get into denominational thinking

That will separate us

These opinions are not necessarily NLA’s

(1:21:10)

Brent Winters is author of “Excellence of the Common Law”

Brent’s website is commonlawyer.com

All of Brent’s comments are his own They do not necessarily reflect the views of anybody else

The controlling point is Men need to think themselves and they need to say what they think. That’s the most important part of our common law

If we’re not willing to do that then we won’t come out of our troubles

Let’s go to the Declaration of ‘76

 (1:24:00)

The Declaration of ’76 paragraph 29

It says this:

“He has excited domestic insurrections amongst us, and has endeavoured to bring on the inhabitants of our frontiers, the merciless Indian Savages, whose known rule of warfare, is an undistinguished destruction of all ages, sexes and conditions.”

The British were bringing upon the Americans the “merciless Indian Savages”

Savage is the Latin word for woods The Latins called people who lived in the woods savages.

It means that they are woods people

It says they were without mercy They killed everybody Slaughtered them

In the tens upon tens upon tens of thousands

(1:27:19)

We know that at least 1500 settlers were roasted alive and eaten by the Redman in Kentucky

That’s fact

Our ancestors were enslaved and they were barbarous beyond belief

This is not a slam against them It’s a fact of reality

When men are not Christian they will eat each other

We will kill steal and destroy

Brent has been to places across the world where people do eat people

This is not unusual It’s part of being of the race of Adam

If you are not Christian in your culture these things will become part of the people

Brent is a student of history He likes to read it He has been reading it for decades

He has found this to be true all over the world and has even seen it

Loving one another is more important than eating one another

Honor all men

The French Jesuits for decades before had falsely incited the Indian tribes against the New England colonists leading them in murder Now the British also attempt to incite the tribes against the colonists but with little success

The Indian tribes often fought with the Continental Army

We have learned a lot of good things from the American Redman

They did fight with us in the Revolution

There were certain tribes that did and some that didn’t

The British had more success inciting the insurrection of colonist against colonist

They did anything that they could

They wanted to wipe out the Americans if they had to

They wanted this continent really bad

They went about it all wrong and ended up losing everything

The French were inciting the Redman against the American colonists during the French and Indian War and then the French joined the Americans War is a political activity

Not that the Americans liked the French so much but when they saw that the French were willing to help them and they had a common enemy they put up with it

Paragraphs 3 – 29 lists criminal charges against King George and co-conspirators

And they show through these paragraphs that he is unfit for office

Justifying the colonies breaking off from Britain

Let’s go to paragraph 30

“In every stage of these Oppressions We have Petitioned for Redress in the most humble terms: Our repeated Petitions have been answered only by repeated injury. A Prince whose character is thus marked by every act which may define a Tyrant, is unfit to be the ruler of a free people.”

(1:34:08)

Our Declaration in this paragraph paragraph 30 is summing up Congress’s petitions and resolutions The American Congress’s petitions and resolutions

The colonies submitted to the common law’s requirements of diffidatio

That’s a common law doctrine

That’s a Latin word

Diffidatio said that in a common law country such as England the American colonies were under that law that the prince the sovereign the King in old Anglo Saxon England, the king he had a duty toward the people who were under his authority

The British when the Americans would make a plea for peace the British would return

injury for kindness

The colonies continued to obey right and duty but when the British told them that they didn’t have any rights and duties they said that’s a violation of the common law doctrine of diffidatio Diffidatio says the king when he’s put in power when he’s put in office the king is required to provide his services in an effort to protect the people who are under his authority under his protection in case of war

The militia in the case of America the twelve separate states the militia then is to lend it’s service to the defense of the land and the king is to lead the militia in that defense in that strategy in military strategy to defend the land

Our Declaration of ’76 provides a standard whereby we can recognize a tyrant listing acts which may define a tyrant whether wearing the clothes of kings or priests or presidents or senators or congressmen And once a tyrant is recognized one’s duty arises to follow a course of common law and separating one’s self from those people

And this doctrine called diffidatio says that if the king has breached his agreement his understanding with us and he will no longer do his duty as the leader of the militia and start trying to destroy the militiamen and trying to destroy his family and burn his town and cite insurrection among us as the complaint says here

Insurrection has been going on for a long time

The Evil Empire the Law of the City wants to destroy us

Paragraph 31

“Nor have We been wanting in attentions to our British brethren. We have warned them from time to time of attempts by their legislature to extend an unwarrantable jurisdiction over us. We have reminded them of the circumstances of our emigration and settlement here. We have appealed to their native justice and magnanimity, and we have conjured them by the ties of our common kindred to disavow these usurpations, which, would inevitably interrupt our connections and correspondence. They too have been deaf to the voice of justice and of consanguinity. We must, therefore, acquiesce in the necessity, which denounces our Separation, and hold them, as we hold the rest of mankind, Enemies in War, in Peace Friends.”

He’s not saying “We want to slaughter you. We hate you” He’s saying “We’re kin”

(1:53:00)

CALLERS

Caller 1: Felicia

When Crystal goes into court she has a lot of people supporting her

If you’re going in there alone you have to do these things safely

You don’t want to put yourself into a position where they can retaliate

When Crystal goes to court she has a bunch of other people that go with her

They’re going to be more careful about directly attacking her

We’re 6,000 members plus

We’re going weekly on shows

You’re at the front of the line

You got your paperwork in

Timing is everything

Whether we get the Supreme Court thing back or not that’s going to determine what our next step is

(2:08:24)

Caller 2: Florence

Herself and her children were victimized with a strong arm eviction and were forced out of their home A white woman complained that we were illegally taking her home

Caller has court orders saying that she has every right to be there

The police department put all of her children in handcuffs

Her children were 13 and 14 The 14 year old is autistic

They punched the 13 year old in his stomach

Because of the fact that the caller whistleblew on the decrepit people so-called landlord that they along with her ex-husband was milking the section 8 housing voucher program to be able to pass inspections

Because she had been fighting the system and the city of Philadelphia for years have been taking her children and giving them to her ex-husband so that she would pay him child support

She has been put through the prison system

She has been deemed psychologically crazy by the state

She went through six mental health evaluations

She was found guilty by having jurors that were family members of the police department

The court put her in jail over two failures to appear that was removed from the record two years prior to after they had her go through a criminal proceeding for six years

The judge told the so called forced court attorney that she found out used to work for the D A’s office which right now the D A which was involved in her case has been put in jail for case fixing Her and her family have been homeless for over six years

Where’s all the civil rights people ? the ACLU None of them would do anything

She has been frightened for her and her children since 2011

She had discovered that the Philadelphia Housing Authority held the housing voucher and has been receiving money off of her and her children since the time that they were illegally put out

They told them that they were withholding the voucher because the fact that DHS told them that they removed her children

Her third oldest child was 19 at the time they took and hog tied her

They kicked her in the back so that she would drop the phone and not be able to tell her mother what was going on So caller could not put it in her complaint

She is a wrongfully accused and wrongfully convicted felon

She is a felon of the burglary of her own property which she had court orders that said that she had a right to be there She filed for bankruptcy She did a bankruptcy case

After she filed all these things last year the judge who fixed her case and tried to do all those things which the city tried to get a judgment against her in the city of Philadelphia

She did RICO charges they did nothing the judge in this case Judge Dawn A Stanley she has been barred from being a judge every again in the city of Philadelphia and anywhere else in the United States for case fixing

She sent the transcripts to Jan and John

Google Florence Mason

The story you just told if you could get all of that with details and name names into an affidavit and any transcripts send it to us and we can use it in the court case going up against the judiciary as more proof

Anybody who has been thrown out of court under Rule 12 they also should do an affidavit and send it to us but we need to know before you send it

We can put it in our court case as proof

Caller sent information to John and Jan at the end of May

She has lots of affidavits in her cases

The way she laid out the story she laid out all of the crimes all of the injustices in the courts if she did that in an affidavit form that would be huge

NLA needs mostly is the affidavit

If you get transcripts that might help that would be fine

The affidavit can stand on it’s own

All of that is in what caller has sent

She sent it by e-mail

An affidavit unrebutted rules the court

She wants to clear her name

Anybody else listening if you have a story like this and you can put it into an affidavit and let us know before you send it e-mailing it or faxing it is a good way to send it

Anybody that is going to send an affidavit that clearly shows the injustices of the courts these are the cases we would really like to stick into our case as evidence

One of the most important things is anybody that goes in to file a lawsuit and you’re going up against the government or an agency and you find that you get thrown out under Rule 12 we want affidavits on that

Caller got hurt last year She had a slip and fall in the supermarket in Philadelphia She hurt herself pretty bad The civil rights case she had the first one that ever stuck against a police officer The judge let it go because the police officer that they were trying to get her to go up against was also an Afro-American police officer

He did lots of things wrong The police told them to call DHS because this bitch will not shut up That’s what he called her 11 year old daughter After he called her that then he proceeded over to caller’s 20 year old and punched him in the face while he was in handcuffs

Caller was able to file documentation to get her children off of burglary charges

The case against them is wiped away

They have been trying to use it against them to put them in jail

They tried to sentence the caller for seven years to put her in prison for seven years after she got herself out of prison seven different times

She’s been under psychological evaluation seven different times

Nobody wants to deal with her because they claim that she is ornery

Any case that gets put into our case will have to be dealt with

Judges in bad behavior got to go

We got to get rid of them

There are judges in Philadelphia that have been disbarred thrown off the bench

The big problem is the BAR

No judge that is a member of the BAR should be a judge

Their strings are pulled everything is status quo

They’re out to protect each other and protect the status quo

They are the minions of the New World Order

In the recent case where two men were taken out of Starbucks by police because they did not make a purchase, one of those men was the caller’s business partner

(2:33:45)

Caller 3: Terry from New Jersey

Do you attach any significance to the fact that that these actions were dismissed by magistrate judges which is actually violation of their own rules?

Magistrate judges are not empowered to make judgments

They violate their rules

Not even a judge is permitted to make a decision when you get into a court of record

If it’s a criminal case under the common law it’s still a court of record It’s a common law procedure The judge or magistrate is supposed to stay out of this It’s to guide things, keep order in the court and keep it moving

They’re not to make decisions They’re not to make rulings

This is not their job to do This is the job of the jury

We are hoping to get people who are just

The problem is we are not getting people who are just

That’s the problem These people got to go

They have to be indicted They have to be removed from office

They’re in bad behavior and if Congress doesn’t do it then the people need to do it

We will indict them

I feel confident telling the story to the grand jury

There’s an interesting development in New Jersey

The people that were appointed to the Judicial Nomination Committee

There’s a committee of seven former judges who are on the committee

Five of whom were involved in caller’s illegal eviction and theft of his father’s estate

He made up a website called jameszazzali.blogspot.com

James Zazzali was a former chairman of the New Jersey Supreme Court

At one point we filed a petition and they didn’t accept it It had to do with his unlawful eviction

The judge didn’t have jurisdiction

New Jersey says you can’t evict somebody unless they are in violation of one of the tenants of the AntiEviction Act

This judge took it upon herself to evict the caller even though he wasn’t in violation of the Antieviction Act which she was

According to the Antieviction Act in order to for a judge to obtain an eviction a reason for the eviction outlined in the Antieviction Act must be made

Even though a Supreme Court doesn’t have to hear every case are they obligated to discipline or take some kind of action against a judge

Absolutely that’s their job and they’re not doing it

And that’s why we sent a mandamus to them on that very very subject

We’re waiting to hear from them

We’re going to consider an indictment

Even for the United States Supreme Court judges

How dare they not do their job

And Congress it’s like talking to the wall

(2:43:22)

Caller 4: Crystal

no response

Caller 5 Cynthia Massachusetts

Recently reconnecting with NLA She has been really busy

There is a pattern of that activity directed towards people and families

It’s happened to her

This meets the criteria for torture and human trafficking

She is trying to get herself out of all of these jurisdictions

It’s all admiralty military tribunals

They don’t tell you that

There’s no due process They pretend that there is

That needs to be exposed

They’re getting away with it because we’re sitting here doing nothing

Judges and attorneys are doing bad things and they get promoted

Good judges get killed

People are indoctrinated