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Scripture Reading: Matthew 13 : 31-43

(3:24)

QUESTIONS

Question 1: Could you explain the difference between the two and give some history about the differences in contemporary situations involving the two meanings of lawful and legal?

Actually they ultimately mean the same.

“It may be legal but it isn’t lawful” is a frequently heard expression

It is either legal or it’s not

That which is legal is that which is lawful

Whatever operates under the law is legal

There really isn’t a difference

Anything that is legal must be lawful.

They do a lot of misapplying of the law

If it is repugnant to the Constitution then a statute is not lawful.

It might be unlawful to apply towards the people but it might be legal to apply towards an individual who works for the government

We give them power by allowing them to change the meaning of words

The people are so frightened and dumbed down

(13:30)

John sent an e-mail out last week concerning our courses

We have a page that John just posted this week It is under “Free Courses” It is called: “Court Access Without a Lawyer Course” It is called Jurisdictionary.

We’ve taken the jurisdictionary course That’s how we learned how to file papers in the court.

Anybody that wants to take care of their own business in court, that is a required course, unless you can find a lawyer that will teach you this process.

You have to know the paperwork And this is a great course for learning the paperwork.

The course costs $279 for the course It is well worth it

You could be filing papers using their course you will be able to figure things out and file papers within 24 hours

This will give you a good understanding of how the paperwork flows

NLA’s Civics Course is a 120 hour course

It’s up to the person how fast they want to move along with it.

We also have the Constitutional Course That is probably a six hour course

You could do that on a Saturday easily.

Anybody who takes those three courses and accomplishes that process makes it easier for NLA to help them with what they need to do

It is all about due process due process and jurisdiction

They don’t give you due process and they don’t have jurisdiction

All juries are tampered with either by the judge and/or the prosecutor

Clearly the grand jury is tampered by the prosecutor

There is collusion going on between the prosecutor and the judge and law enforcement

Anybody who really wants to file their own papers and understand the process and be able to defeat these people these are the three things that John strongly suggests.

We also suggest, we put a page together under Free Courses, it says: Court Access Without a Lawyer Course. And if you click onto that it will give you some basic instructions.

First of all you will want to take the Jurisdictionary Course

They don’t have to be taken in order

But if you can do it in order that’s the best way to go.

A major error Bill Thornton has is that he calls you me us the King of the Court.

We are not King of the Court

The King of the Court is God

The King’s Bench is the People

John should add to the list “The Excellence of the Common Law” by Brent Winters

This is a book that should definitely be read

If you take the courses first and then read “The Excellence of the Common Law” by Brent Winters you will have a better appreciation.

You will have a base to work with.

You’ll have a framework in your mind in order to take this information in your thinking and apply it in legal thinking and how you might go to court

It is all about jurisdiction and due process

We also suggest to join our Courtroom Observers Group

Also read the NLA paperwork.

The paperwork that NLA files in the courts you can cut and paste

The Memorandums are meant to form a platform a base of understanding a conclusion that cannot be argued about jurisdiction

Anybody interested in being able to write their own papers should read this page : It is under “Free Courses” and it says “Court Access Without a Lawyer Course”

It costs $279

The first four courses that NLA sells, NLA will receive $50 for each one

Once we move into 5, 6, 7, 8 then it would be $100

above that it would be $125 for each course that you sell

There is money that NLA will receive for selling this course

Click on the link at the NLA site if you sign up for this course so that NLA will get credit for selling the course.

People ask John questions to get help but John already knows that most don’t have the background, or ability, or understanding of how to file papers.

Therefore when John tells them what they need to do they have to have the framework to be able to apply it.

To be able to use it.

John makes a federal case out of everything

If it’s a traffic ticket John makes a federal case out of it

I am going to get them on the same thing over and over again: due process and jurisdiction

You can get these people for basic right violations unalienable right violations

You can get a monetary gain out of it.

You’re doing it because you want to stand up for your rights

You have to ask yourself “Do I want to fight this battle?” Pick your fights

John writes one paper and sends it into the court

And either John doesn’t hear from them ever again or they ignore it and continue to come after him. John doesn’t have the time to waste so he will go in and pay the ticket.

He will file his tickets over the years and one day he may collectively go after all of these people.

When people ask for help we are not here to teach law we’re here to empower people to help them learn the Constitution to understand what liberty is to stand on their own and to stand together as we take back the courts of this nation.

You don’t have to be a lawyer to be an administrator

You need to know the Constitution

You need to understand liberty and the rights of the people

You need to understand the basics so that you can articulate

or orientate these people who are coming in to be jurists or grand jurists

Being an administrator it is required to take all of our courses

We may make it a requirement to take the jurisdictionary course

We have a paper that we filed today

We have this Writ of Mandamus to court officers

It is straightforward and hard hitting

There is a time when you have to proclaim the truth

You stand upon the truth

They don’t have the power to do anything without asking us

They think that they’re kings

It’s up to us to put them back in their place because they’ve turned things upside down

Gerard interviewed Pete Hendrickson from “Cracking the Code” and he has a video that he would like people to watch

And it lays out what was going on when they were building a case against him

One of the things that happened is Pete shows people what to do when they are dealing with the IRS based on the IRS’s own forms they’re saying he is teaching a tax dodge but it is actually using their own methodology

In one of the cases in the course of people fighting with the IRS the IRS quotes the Supreme Court a landmark case and they say the exact opposite of what it says.

You have a federal judge completely misquoting the Supreme Court ruling and saying that it says the opposite of what it says and convicting somebody

He is operating under the color of law

In this mandamus we just put in everything that they did

They did all kinds of stuff that we haven’t revealed to everybody just yet

They are lawless and ignore the Constitution and do what they want

They are trying to operate outside of due process outside the rules of the court

They do it because we let them get away with it

There was a call for an investigation of the last administration

Hillary Clinton Loretta Lynch and it was in Congress Trey Gowdy voted for it

There was a freshman congressman that calls it like it is

You had the Congress voting to appoint a second special counsel to investigate Comey and Hillary Clinton

You can find that video on YouTube it is only 8 minutes long

You got this freshman congressman calling out Comey and saying “What are you doing?”

This certainly is outside the law And Hillary Clinton And Loretta Lynch how come that is not being investigated?

Go to YouTube and put in Trey Gowdy votes to appoint second special counsel to investigate James Comey and Hillary Clinton and listen to it it’s 8 minutes long

And that freshman congressman is calling the most evil people that we have in America

These guys are evil They are Satinists If you don’t know what they’re doing you should educate yourself. There is pedophilia and murder and crazy Satanic rituals going on. This congressman is putting his life on the line going after these people.

These people are powers and principalities

Gerard read the Writ of Mandamus

It’s only four pages

(48:33)

The Writ of Mandamus begins:

“Be advised that Former Judge Lawrence E. Kahn is in bad behavior and has been removed as jurist by way of indictment. Article III Section 1 ... The judges, both of the supreme and inferior courts, shall hold their offices during good behavior... On June 14 the Dishonorable Lawrence E. Kahn committed fraud on the court by attempting felony rescue of his comrades through an unlawful treasonous decision and order. On June 19, 2017 the Grand Jury filed with this court, a Writ of Error upon the Dishonorable Lawrence E. Kahn. On July 17, 2017 the Grand Jury filed an indictment upon the Dishonorable Lawrence E. Kahn with this court. In the Dishonorable Lawrence E. Kahn’s unlawful ‘decision and order’ and fraudulent ‘Notice of Electronic Filing’ (see attached) entered on July 17, 2017 the Dishonorable Lawrence E. Kahn lied to this court calling the Grand Jury an organization whereas the Grand Jury is We the People exercising our unalienable right protected by the 5th Amendment; see ‘MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF AUTHORITY OF THE GRAND JURY’ filed in this court on January 9, 2017. The Dishonorable Lawrence E. Kahn again lied to this court claiming that We the People are proceeding without a lawyer, which was the Dishonorable Lawrence E. Kahn’s repugnant claim for dismissal, whereas the Grand Jury on May 11, 2017 filed in this court an INFORMATION BRIEF CLARIFYING THIS EXTRAORDINARY PROCEEDING quoting below; ‘President Trump, after choosing Jeff Sessions for Attorney General, said, ‘He will be a great protector of the people.’ On February 9, 2017 Attorney General Sessions then took an oath to ‘protect and defend the Constitution’. As aforementioned, President Trump rededicated himself and his administration to the rule of law and therefore, we will be sending a copy of these papers to Attorney General Sessions and President Trump for Action. We will call upon Attorney General Sessions to send U.S. Prosecutors educated in the common law to work with the Common Law Grand Jury to prosecute our indictments in this Court of Record, which will be the beginning of restoring Justice in our courts.‘ Thereby, explaining among many things, that We the People remain in our unalienable right as tribunal via the Grand Jury and Petit Jury as we await U.S. Prosecutors from the Justice Department to realize their Constitutional Duty to serve Justice or by the people through the imminent rising of critical mass. Clearly the Administration is leaning heavily upon U.S. Attorney General Jeff Sessions to return Justice to our courts. ”

The Writ of Mandamus ends with the following:

“DECISION AND ORDER THE ‘NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING’ FRAUDULENTLY ENTERED BY THE DISHONORABLE LAWRENCE E. KAHN ON JULY 17, 2017 IS HEREBY RESCINDED. AND THE COURT REITERATES ITS DECISION TOIMPEACH AND RESCIND THE STATEMENT BY THE DISHONORABLE LAWRENCE E. KAHN IN THAT HE DID MAKE AN UNLAWFUL DECISION AND ORDER, AND THIS COURT ORDERS THE DISHONORABLE LAWRENCE E. KAHN TO CEASE AND DESIST FROM ASSUMING THE ROLE OF TRIBUNAL. THE DISHONORABLE LAWRENCE E. KAHN IS IN BAD BEHAVIOR AS DETERMINED BY THE GRAND JURY BY WAY OF INDICTMENT AND THEREFORE NO LONGER A JURIST, MAGISTRATE OR OFFICER OF ANY COURT. THIS COURT WILL NO LONGER RESPOND TO THE DISHONORABLE LAWRENCE E. KAHN’S WASTE OF THIS COURTS TIME BY HIS SHAMEFUL SUBVERSIVE ACTIONS, AS WE AWAIT HIS DUE JUSTICE. THIS COURT REFERENCES THIS WRIT AS ANSWER TO ANY OTHER FUTURE FRAUDULENT FILING BY THE DISHONORABLE LAWRENCE E. KAHN THIS ARTICLE III COURT OF JUSTICE PROCEEDS. SO ORDERED AND ORDAINED. “

(54:54)

We do have a copy of this to download if you go to NationalLibertyAlliance.org/docket or NationalLibertyAlliance.org highlight “grand jury” and click “docket”

* [1024-03 Notice electronic filing](https://www.nationallibertyalliance.org/files/federalcourt/rederssofgrievences/1024-03%20Notice%20electronic%20filing.pdf)
* [1024-04 Writ Mandamus to court officers](https://www.nationallibertyalliance.org/files/federalcourt/rederssofgrievences/1024-04%20Writ%20Mandamus%20to%20court%20officers.pdf)

Those are the two documents that we are talking about

The reason that this is worded so strongly is that we are going to put on the record everything that they have done.

You document their crime

That’s what we have every intention of doing.

This is a battle of powers and principalities

When you have people like Hillary Clinton she is very close to those principalities She’s in union with them Some claim that she is a witch and goes to a wickham We probably shouldn’t say that because we are violating someone’s civil rights to be a pedophile or a witch.

We need to say that it’s over and do it under the authority of the King of the Court

Prayerfully contemplate this

Ask God to turn His Face to us

This is the battle

It’s time to stand up

There’s a duty to be done here

They get us into fear where we are frozen into inaction

Brent Winters is author of “Excellence of the Common Law”

Brent’s website is commonlawyer.com

(1:00:36)

Whatever Brent says may or may not be the opinion of National Liberty Alliance

The only remedy for lawlessness is true law.

We have been going through our Declaration of ’76 then we will move into the Constitution of the United States blow by blow clause by clause

We’ve been going through the Declaration blow by blow clause by clause

We are up to paragraph two

“Such has been the patient sufferance of these Colonies; and such is now the necessity which constrains them to alter their former Systems of Government. The history of the present King of Great Britain is a history of repeated injuries and usurpations, all having in direct object the establishment of an absolute Tyranny over these States. To prove this, let Facts be submitted to a candid world.”

He says “King of Great Britain” King George didn’t claim and nobody else in England or Parliament nobody claimed that he was king in the American colonies.

Indeed he was not king of the American colonies

He was Emperor of his Empire

That is why we went to war

The common law of England our common law did not apply in our American plantations. That means in our American colonies.

If it’s an empire then the common law did not apply

King George was king of Great Britain

and emperor of his empire

The folk that lived in the 13 original colonies they were British they appealed to common blood

Paragraph 3 of the Declaration of ‘76

“He has refused his Assent to Laws, the most wholesome and necessary for the public good.”

These grievances of which he is going to speak here he is going to list them are the same grievances that we struggle with today.

That is why this document called the Declaration of ’76 has been printed for so long and is declared by the Congress of the United States to be part of our Organic Law

Amazingly when the Northern States and the Southern States went to war in our own country there would seem to be a disagreement as to whether the Declaration of ’76 was in force.

That sounds funny to conservation minded people When I say conservative I mean people that want to conserve what is good from the past examine all things keep what is good.

Look back and preserve what is worthwhile.

Conservative minded folks understood it that way and still do.

The complaints that we have in the Declaration of ’76 are perennial.

Perennial means that they occur year after year after year

It says here:

“He has refused his Assent to Laws, the most wholesome and necessary for the public good.”

At that time Europe’s bankers exploiting fears following the 16th century internal war called the English Civil War of the 16th century the fear that followed that the bankers played on that real hard in Europe.

The result of it was they set up their central bank in England called the Bank of England set up in the 1690s but they pushed for it long before that. And King George the Third was one of the chief beneficiaries of that banking cartel. That’s the way banks work. They make the people who hold the levers of power beneficiaries of the banking system. In many different ways. You see the same thing today here in America. And there is a strong theory out there with good evidence that the Federal Reserve Bank is subject to the Bank of England sometimes called the Bank in London. But it is the national bank the central bank in England.

And that is what this war was all about. Because the Bank of England controlled Parliament

The Bank of England had a trading arm that controlled all economic transactions in England. The British East India Company was the trading arm of the Bank of England. The Bank of England was controlled as it is yet today by the European Continental Bankers.

The Federal Reserve Bank of the United States controls the United States.

Unlike common law government that’s the kind of government we have at least we have retained the forms of it unlike common law government the law of the city civil law is imperial. That means that it is administered from a far off central command. It’s not local.

It sends swarms of bureaucrats to force it’s will It spurns local representative bodies In our Declaration in Paragraph 3 through Paragraph 10 decries the king’s decree from afar which denied the right to local legislative representation. Including those representatives rights to set up common law courts with trial by jury and placing himself above personal rights of the Americans The English colonials King George ignored this common law duty. That’s what the complaint is when it says: “He has refused his Assent to Laws, the most wholesome and necessary for the public good.”

That means the legislative bodies considered the past laws and King George just ignored them.

Paragraph 4 Here’s number 2:

“He has forbidden his Governors to pass Laws of immediate and pressing importance, unless suspended in their operation till his Assent should be obtained; and when so suspended, he has utterly neglected to attend to them.”

What he’s saying here is he’s recognizing that liberty is impossible freedom is impossible unless it’s under the law of freedom

Anarchy lawlessness will not bring freedom We have today people who call themselves libertarians

Pure libertarianism those are the folk that say we don’t want any law at all What they mean by that is that we don’t want any restraint at all

We want people to leave us alone and we can do whatever we want

Brent went to a Ron Paul meeting once

It was at an old large gymnasium in a major MidWest University.

This old gymnasium had never had more people in it in the last ninety years almost a hundred years than it had at the Ron Paul meeting Ron Paul was there to speak

Brent sat down He got there early He sat down right next to the gymnasium floor. People were packed around the walls and packed everywhere. Brent was setting next to a couple of persons. A couple of persons young fellows they were and Brent got to talking to them Brent asked them why they were there and why they were supporting Ron Paul. There were there supporting Ron Paul because Ron Paul had taken a position that it’s none of the federal government’s business to get involved with the idea of marriage or sexuality. The subject of same sex marriage is not the business of federal government period.

The federal government has no jurisdiction over family law, marriage, none of those things. These two fellows were a couple of Sodomites. Sodomites. And the reason that they supported Ron Paul was because Ron Paul took the position that they didn’t want the government to have anything to do with bothering them because they were Sodomites. That’s lawlessness Sodomy is lawlessness The federal government has no jurisdiction over such matters. The Constitution doesn’t provide jurisdiction over such a matter. The state has jurisdiction over whether men can bump body parts it’s much worse it’s evil it’s abusive God is not pleased and there will be no liberty when these things are condoned or allowed Laws have to be put in place or there is no liberty

To think that there is freedom without law from the True Lawgiver is fantasy and foolishness and childishness and absurdity

Paragraph 4:

“He has forbidden his Governors to pass Laws of immediate and pressing importance, unless suspended in their operation till his Assent should be obtained; and when so suspended, he has utterly neglected to attend to them.”

What he’s saying here is that we must have Law

We must have limits

If we do not have limits and it must be God’s limits not man’s marijuana, and liquor, and alcohol no place in the Laws of Nature and the Laws of Nature’s God does God give jurisdiction of men to ban or outlaw use of those substances.

Brent thinks you would be a fool for fiddling with such things and abusing such things

unless it has a very important use in medicine.

But it is not to be outlawed

The Laws of Nature and the Laws of Nature’s God give men no such jurisdiction.

When it is outlawed or banned all it has done is to give the government an excuse to build the police state.

It hasn’t worked it will not work and it will destroy us

Such foolishness steps outside of the jurisdiction that God has given to us.

For reasons our Declaration of ’76 here states our Constitution includes it’s ten day rule and pocket veto. That’s paragraph 4 where it says that King George executive power has forbidden Governors to pass Laws of immediate and pressing importance, unless suspended in their operation till his Assent should be obtained; and when so suspended, he has utterly neglected to attend to them

The executive the President of the United States ignores Congress and it’s proposed legislation at peril of his power because if after ten days he has failed to veto legislation sent to him for his signature by setting out his reasons then such legislation becomes law.

Thus does paragraph four of our Declaration of ’76 cite the first principle of common law government. Mainly the one charged to exercise discretion that is the one having the just power to say yes to government or to say no must exercise that discretion.

Must do so That’s what it’s saying And to ignore this duty this duty to exercise discretion to either say yes to government or to say no is to trample upon individual rights consider the grand jury The grand jury is a feature of our common law

The petit jury is a feature of our common law

The grand jury and the trial jury their only job and it’s a powerful job and they must exercise discretion the only job that these institutions have is to say “yes” to government power or to say “ no”. “Yes, Mr Prosecutor, Mr Government you may prosecute this fellow for a crime you may take him to trial.” And then he gets a trial And the trial jury says “ Yes you may take this man’s life , liberty, or property or combination thereof” or say “ No you may not” That’s power They are the final arbiters of right and wrong

The grand jury and the trial jury are not representatives of the people. They are samplings of the people

A legislator is a representative He wants to go He spends lots of money on his campaign to get to go

You won’t find a juror doing that You’ll find a juror trying to get out of jury duty

He trying to get out He doesn’t want to be there

He’s not paid next to anything

A legislator wants the job It becomes a living to him

A juror is a representative sampling

The number 12 is God ordained

And if 12 men are chosen or picked out or empanelled and ask them “Are you going to give power to the government to take this man’s life , liberty, or property or combination thereof”.

They’re just folk grabbed up off the street

12 men that are standing around just grab them up

The best way to arrive at the facts of the case

Brent concluded

(1:30:00)

Caller 1: David from Washington

Does the National Liberty Alliance have a step-by-step procedure to set up a legal common law grand jury?

It is at the National Liberty Alliance website

It is in the “docket”

U S v Williams is the best case that is written on the whole idea of the power and how it comes together and it’s relationship to the court and that’s all you need to know

The people need to take control of orientating the grand jury

That’s why NLA set up the administration for the jury

NLA is trying to get four individuals from every county which would be a paid position

We have 3,143 counties

We need a minimum of four people per county

Those people need to be trained

You can’t establish a grand jury that is going to sit there forever

We established a grand jury at national liberty alliance by establishing a grand jury in every state of the Union and then bringing those grand jurists together to create the Unified United States Common Law Grand Jury. We’ve done recently one indictment and plan on doing others.

That particular group that came together as a grand jury is not going to sit forever.

We’re here for only one court case

Which is many many cases

We are only here to deal with one thing and that is subversion against the law of the land

The injustice in our courts

We need to bring justice back into the court

And we need to bring to justice these people that are subverting our law subverting our Constitution subverting We the People

Right now we have 1,003 grand jury administrators

They are now taking courses

They are taking the courses at the website to prepare themselves

NLA is creating a handbook to help people write papers

NLA will be here to facilitate that education ongoing.

We are setting out everything we can so that the people can take control of the people’s business

The people will police themselves

You are setting up the mechanism in each county to be able to put together the people who are necessary to serve on the grand jury when the occasion arises

You’re setting up the vehicle in each county the people that you need available so that if the occasion arises that you need a grand jury to work on a certain case

You’re setting up the mechanism in each county to be able to address an issue if it arises

The grand jury will come in and maybe for a week they will listen to a case and make decisions

Then they are released and we choose another group of jurists for a grand jury

One of the big problems is people getting access to the grand jury

People are being prevented

That’s an unalienable right

(1:46:00)

NLA will be changing it’s call-in number

NLA needs support and donations

Sometimes the elderly give monthly and when the elderly person enters the nursing home the family does not continue the monthly donation to NLA

If you would like to continue your donations after you enter a nursing home then put it in a legal form because sometimes the family does not recognize the importance of your monthly donations to NLA and the family does not continue contributing to NLA when they take over the finances

(1:51:39)

Caller 2: Terry Culpepper

On Infowars with Alex Jones

**“Trump friend and advisor Roger Stone calls for the full pardon of 14 Obama Admin political prisoners. Stone and Infowars are going to launch a petition to call for President Donald Trump to research their case and issue a pardon.”**

**That’s for the people in the Bundy case. The 14 that are indicted**

**Roger Stone is closely connected to Donald Trump**

**About ten years ago caller was involved in a motor vehicle matter**

**The judge went rogue**

**He never asked caller to enter a plea**

**Caller filed a motion to move it to federal court**

**Federal court didn’t do anything**

**Caller appealed it to the State Appellate Court**

**And then to New Jersey Supreme Court**

**They did the same thing**

**They don’t care about constitutional violations**

**They’re not interested in justice**

**It’s all power and authority with these people**

**To get into the federal court is a simple thing**

**But it’s got to be done properly**

**You may have a good case going in but if you don’t express it right on paper and lay out why this needs to move for cause then you’re not going to get in there**

**Lawyers are not trained to go into the Constitution and read it**

**What is the jurisdiction of the federal court?**

**It’s a constitutional cause**

**It’s an unalienable right cause**

**If your unalienable rights have been injured in any way no court in the nation has the ability to hear that case but the federal court**

**That’s their jurisdiction**

**That’s the clarity that you have to make**

**You probably didn’t articulate it enough to make the point**

**If you have a good cause and you lay it out they’re going to hear it**

**It’s a learning process**

**Without an affidavit there is no case**

**If there is no affidavit if there is no sworn statement there is no case**

**(2:01:51)**

**Caller 3 Brian from Indiana**

**To the gentleman that was first speaking Brian has some minor issues**

**His explanation of anarchy is shallow and unexamined**

**Anarchy has much more depth than he made it appear**

**Stefan Molyneux on YouTube can enlighten people if they are interested**

**Secondly the prosperity of the United States comes from our freedom and as the United States becomes more regulated our freedom of the thirties has been dissipated and now we are living on history**

**We are not the leader in economic freedom**

**Denmark actually has one of the best economic freedoms but they’re a socialist country and they still do well because they’re a socialist country with economic freedom**

**Less regulation Less interference Easier to start businesses Less interference all the way round**

**Last point: I’ve been reading your docket Quite impressive**

**Caller fears for John’s safety**

**Outstanding paperwork**

(2:03:33)

Caller 4 William Harley

Pamela and Mark are with Save Our Children They are the founders

They’ve got serious judicial issues

Caller wants to get ahold of her and discuss affidavits

Help us find her on FaceBook

Help us find her on Twitter

Pamela Olsen

(2:06:00)

Caller 5 James

A question for Brent

He had praised the jury as the best way to get justice and John had commented that a lot of the juries are tainted Is Brent familiar with professional jurists They are plants They are put there to get the verdict that the Powers That Be wants. Is Brent familiar with that?

Yes

He has been involved in criminal trials in federal courts where it has happened

We could see it happen as it happened always it’s simple it can be convoluted and over explained and over dissected but that’s a waste of time

The Evil Empire comes at us with simplicity and stupidity.

And it becomes so overwhelming we can’t complain about everything

We can’t complain about every juror

Yes they are planted they are picked out by the prosecution

It happens It happens a lot Federal government has become astute

State government is not as astute or as committed to thwarting the jury

The jury is the best device that we’ve ever come across to produce justice

Brent knows that they are stacked

He has seen it happen It has even happened against him personally

You can’t complain against everything.

When the federal government goes in to prosecute they don’t do some things unlawful they do everything a little bit unlawful

Tyranny hates the jury

There has never been a country that lost it’s liberty that had the jury.

There haven’t been that many countries in the world that ever had juries

Juries only exist in common law countries

The amazing thing about the jury, if the jury is empanelled according to the course of the common law, is this , that the jury even when it is stacked can still come through and do right

Brent has seen that happen

The jury is the simple remedy against anarchy because it represents that sampling of the people, the militia , the people is the militia, it is a sampling of the militia it is not a representative

A case in point about juries coming through is the case in Oregon when the jury acquitted because the government didn’t prove their case even though it was a stacked jury and they kicked one guy off a BLM employee that basically said that he was there to convict . They acquitted because they didn’t feel that the government proved their case.

The jury is not a majority institution.

It has nothing to do with majority It’s all or nothing

The grand jury is different It’s not all or nothing

It takes 12 members of a grand jury to indict

If it is a 16 member grand jury it takes 12

If it is a 12 member grand jury it takes 12

If it is a 15 member grand jury or an 18 member grand jury it still takes 12

It is not a matter of majority

We don’t ask the experts whether men live or die, we ask 12 men that we grab standing around

That’s the way Jesus Christ chose the jury that was empanelled to witness the evidence of his identity and deliver their verdict. If it was good enough for him it ought to be good enough for us.

Our Forefathers were very astute in that number 12 It was actually some kind of mathematical formula that they did as far as your chance of being wrongfully convicted The twelve man jury was point 0 0 5 They had a formula to prove that they knew that way back then.

They understood numbers and the meanings

James thanked Brent and Gerard

James is always looking for some positive approach to keep him motivated to keep him going however the reason he is kind of down is that he has talked to John about the book, “The Real Lincoln” by DiLorenzo

Caller’s understanding of this book is that the Northern States were deceived into attacking their own countrymen during the aggression of the Northern States on the Southern States conflict

There is no disagreement from Gerard

Brent made a comment He has heard a lot about this book by the fellow just mentioned and Brent does not know why people ignore the book by Billy Herndon, Lincoln’s law partner, he seems to tell the truth the truth that no one else is telling about Abe Lincoln

Who he was, What he believed happened

Billy Herndon was just a man on the rugged frontier at that time

He got to drinking too much

He got so sick of the law couldn’t stand it

He practiced in Springfield , Illinois He wrote a book about Abe Lincoln Billy Herndon .

Brent would recommend this book to anybody He tells the things about Lincoln that nobody else was telling from a man who lived right with him and was sick of the whole system

The South had the right ideas We wouldn’t be in the condition we’re in today if their ideas were put in to place

They were infiltrated by evil men who did evil things and caused evil things to happen

Judah Benjamin Secretary of State right hand man of Jefferson Davis evils were perpetrated by that man He escaped in the end He became a justice of the courts of England He was an insider A New World Empire guy He controlled the Confederacy.

Caller is trying to do everything he can to support NLA He is sending out Common Law Grand Jury Handbooks He wanted to send it to people who would benefit from the information the most and these were candidates for judges in Pennsylvania

However online their finance report omits their residence so he cannot mail them a handbook and business card about NLA That’s what he is working on They are all attorneys members of the BAR At least they will be put on notice by getting a Handbook.

You don’t know what you shake loose by putting the truth out there so yes but it is questionable how much they will read Their problem is that they don’t like to read

You don’t know they may read it

(2:23:00)

Caller 6: Jeremiah from California

No response

Caller 7: Dan from Montana

The New Free Conference Call is what’s supported the most

Brent and I do a weekly show and it works real well

It is newer than what you guys tried

You may want to check that out

FreeConferenceCall.com

We’re on Free Conference Call right now

Actually we’re on Free Conferencing dot com

We used to be on FreeConferenceCall.com

They updated their board and it doesn’t give us what we’re happy with

FreeConferencing.com give us more They’re both from the same company

They’re going to be taking this one out shortly

The one you guys used a while back that didn’t work so good is second in the line

The new thing is I don’t think that you ever used it

The new one is FreeConferenceCall.com

The Secretary of Interior he’s a politician I’ve known him in the past

We kind of figured that

Caller 8 Aleen

A friend just shared an e-mail with her and she lives near the Olympic Peninsula

That after three years of massive public outcry the United States Forrest Service gives permit for Navy to destroy Olympic Peninsula

So in addition to this the Navy cannot be held responsible for poisoning the water system not only to the residents of Coville but of many Naval and Air Force bases by the chemicals they’re using to clean the runways. Even if it makes your home your real estate unsellable. Legal law helps them Constitutional law and common law is trashed by them

What can we do ? Trump supports the military too

That doesn’t mean that he supports the injustice of these type of things

They write a lot of statutes and a lot of laws to protect themselves to protect certain agencies they protect the judicial process they require that you jump through hoops and all the slaves follow through and they obey because of their ignorance they obey what they think is the law.

But in fact it is no law. Just because a statute is written doesn’t make it legal. Just because a code is written doesn’t make it lawful. Legal and lawful are one in the same.

What is legal, you go and see if it is lawful to see if it is legal or not

If the caller has the documentation that clearly lays out that this is dangerous and it affects the people and if she can get some affidavits then NLA can bring it into their case.

Caller 9: Greg from Florida

Harvard was just granted twenty million dollars to do an open test for spraying for geo-engineering

They’re poisoning our water, our food, our air

This GMO stuff is causing sterility in men

A lot of nations have outlawed GMOs

Monsanto and these companies are all American corporations

We have to go after all those people They’re all evil people and criminals and belong in jail.

The focus of where we have to go is with the EPA

That’s where we got to win this and turn it around

We have a serious problem with our food let’s look at GMOs wheat is a staple and look at the destruction that they’ve done with that.

The fields that are planted with GMOs these fields will pollute another field another farm nearby It would take twenty years of no planting of those areas where this GMO crop is coming up in order to get back to plant natural wheat